



Differentiated Service Delivery: Coverage, Quality, Impact, and Community Engagement

“Where are we Now”?

Mworeko Lillian

Executive Director; International Community of
Women Living with HIV Eastern Africa
(ICWEA)

11 November 2019



ICAP

Columbia University
Mailman School
of Public Health

HIV LEARNING NETWORK

The CQUIN Project for Differentiated Service Delivery

Background / Introduction

- Differentiated Service Delivery is a realization that a ‘one-size-fits-all’ approach is no longer enough. We need an adaptation of treatment and care approaches tailored to the needs and priorities of individual recipients of care
- It is a people-centered, rights-based approach to service delivery
- DSD is the way for supporting life-long adherence and helps to decongest crowded health facilities

DSD models

- Adherence groups/clubs (**Client-managed groups - CAGs**) have been established; [Mozambique, Uganda]
- Multi-month refills are given especially to stable RoC
- Family/treatment-supporter refill
- Block appointments
- Integration of services (TB/RCHS/MAT)
- Community outreach ART delivery (mobile)
- Facility-based health provider managed groups (teen/ adolescent/ kids clubs)
- Decentralization of HIV care to lower level health facilities

DSD and Key populations

- Use of drop-in centres (DiCs) or refill sites 4-6 months
 - Examples Uganda (FSWs), Ghana
- Community pickup points (mobilize out reach services for men who have sex with men)-ART refills done by lay healthcare workers (peers)
- Use of private clinic in communities (Ghana)

Health Outcomes /Impact

- **Improvements** in the **quality** of testing, treatment, and **retention** across the facilities
- Improved **access** to screening, testing and treatment services, and the delivery and distribution of drugs
- **Reduced lost to follow up** (LTFU)- South Africa and other countries
- Significant **decline in uncollected drugs** and **client waiting time**-improved client satisfaction (South Africa, Kenya)

Health Outcomes / Impact

- Increased TB case detection
- Improved TB treatment success rate among co-infected clients
- Improved adherence and overall satisfaction
- Improved response to treatment due to fewer missed appointments
- Empowered communities
- Strengthened advocacy and support

“I’ve been on ARVs for 10 years. I want to be responsible for my treatment.” – Client, Conakry, Guinea

“When I pick up my drugs at the community ART distribution point, I am supported and not stigmatized” – Client, Kinshasa, DRC

Community Engagement

General appreciation of increased meaningful engagement of RoC; but more at policy level

- Seat on the National TWG/Task Teams; other policy platforms like CCMs, engage with PEPFAR processes;
- Participating in quarterly meetings
- Evaluation and assessment meetings
- Development of National level DSD policies, guidelines and implementation frameworks, national road maps etc.
- Leading the PLHIV Stigma Index
- Integration of HIV & TB and particularly roll-out of IPT
- Development of Treatment literacy tools and guides

Lessons & Challenges – 1

- Community Engagement is unstructured and varies from country to country
- RoC still not seen as partners
- RoC participation in implementation & monitoring, not to the desired scale
- Limited consultation & feedback mechanisms
- Limited involvement of men and adolescents
- Limited comprehensive/full information to enable communities participate, demand and influence
- Limited involvement in satisfaction surveys

Lessons & Challenges – 2

- **Criminalization and stigma** still adversely **affecting quality** of care among PLHIV and key populations
- Limited data on ART outcomes among key populations
- Test and treat: **push for numbers/targets** compromising quality and compromising rights and informed choices
- Limited confidentiality leading to lost to follow up especially KPs/ women in their diversity

Lessons & Challenges – 3

- Limited investment in systems and structures to address the negative impacts resulting from DSD
- Limited investment in treatment literacy

Opportunities for accelerating DSDM

- Many Ministries of Health mainstreaming DSDM
- Political commitment-presidential initiatives, HIV Trust Funds, political declarations such as Abuja declarations, Universal Health Coverage
- Improving policy/enabling PLHIV, KPs environment for KPs
- Improved technology, integration and innovation
- Sustainable development goals
- Availability of community systems structures/volunteers
- Partnerships and net working/ e.g. use of already platforms and structures
- Funding mechanisms like the Global Fund, Pefpar

Recommendations

- There is need to engage people living with HIV in the design and delivery of ART services and provide comprehensive information on DSD models so as to make informed choices
- There is need to invest in Treatment Literacy
- Continue evidence generation of which DSDM yield better results
- Community activists should particularly note the “outcomes” and “resource needs” for each model

Appreciation

- ICAP & CQUIN
- Ministries of Health & all partners
- Recipients of care